

Cerasmus+ Training Curricula Report Template

Partner: Escola d'Art i Superior de Ceràmica de Manises

Dates of implementation: 25, 28, 30 October and 4th November

Place of implementation: Escola d'Art i Superior de Ceràmica de Manises

Topic chosen: Urban environment

Target group chosen: C-VET

RESULTS OF THE COURSE

At the end of the course, students have answered a questionnaire about their employment situation, motivation for their participation and results of the training received. A summary of answers is presented below

1. Typology of students

The group was composed for 14 students most of them professionals of handcraft (7), art(5) and design (9). The rest of them are teachers on schools of art and/or design, an architect and an unemployed.

2. Reasons to participate in the course

The most selected reasons are: acquire new skills (79%), personal interest (43%) acquire new attitudes (36%) and refresh or improve knowledge (30%).

3. Achievement of the objectives

The achievement of the objectives is considered high (> than 50%) or very high (50 – 30%).

4. The teacher's performance

The general opinion about the teacher can be defined as very good. All aspects we asked about (clarity of speech, ability to motivate, ability to establish relationships, openness, accessibility, and ability to fostering teamwork) are qualified as high (21-28 %) or very high (71-79%) :

5. Contents

More than 75% of participants have considered the contents of the course with high or very high clarity, concretion, structuration and possibility of practical use, and less than 10% has considered them low.

6. Methodology

About the methodology, less than 21% has qualified as medium the presentation of theories and concepts, practical activities, learning material and reflection on the results. The others have considered them high (57%) or very high (20-40%)

7. Usefulness

The contents of the course have been seen for the participants as useful or very useful (72%)

8. General valuation

All participants have rated the course as good (64%) or very good (36%)

Comments and suggestions:

From the open questions of the questionnaire, value the most the teamwork, the interdisciplinary composition of the group, the use of a real example as project, the personal relationships.

As point for improvement the general opinion is that the duration is too short .for so ambitious objectives

Annexes

- Guide of the course with contents and objectives
- List of participants with signature
- Report of the questionnaire
- Teacher curricula